PTO/S8/06 (08-03)
Approved to: use through 7/31/2006. OMB 0651-0032
U.S. Patoni and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
as collection of information united in the collection of the c

f	Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unles PATENT APPLICATION FEE DETERMINATION RECORD							Application or Docket Number		
1	Substitute for Form PTO-875							zy_	1-700	
	CLAIMS AS FILED - PART I (Column 1) (Column 2)					SMALL E	TITY	OR .	OTHER SMALL	
				NUMBER EXTRA		RATE	FEE	1	RATE	FEE
	BASIC FEE						<u> 150</u>	OŘ		<u>5_300</u>
	TOTAL CLAIMS	-	inus 20 =			x s_25_=		O R	x s <u>50</u> •	
	(37 CFR 1.16(c)) DIDEPENDENT CLAIMS	 	inus 3 =			= 001 a x		OR	x s_200=	
25 1 25	(37 CFR 1.16(b))				द्ध.	+ 1805	NT TO	(2) SP	+<3/a	ः चः प्रकृतकार
	worth to october 1					15		OR OR	TOTAL	ENDENT COM
	If the difference in column 1 is less than zero, enter "0" in column 2.					TOTAL [·	
	CLAIMS AS AMENDED - PART II					· - ·				R THAN
	(Cotumn 2) (Cotumn 3)					SMALL E	NTITY	OR		ENTITY
	< ,	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER		HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA	RATE	AODI- TIONAL FEE		RATE	ADDI- TIONAL FEE
	I WI	MENDMENT	Minus	27	-	x s25 -		OR	x s <u>40</u> .	
	Total Official (in core stricts) Z tridependent U (in core stricts)	1/	Minus	- 3	•	x s 100 -		OR	x s.200 =	
	FIRST PRESENTATI	4			1.15(4))	+ 5 (80) =		OR	+ 5360=	
	FIRST PRESENTATI	ON OF MULTIPLE)Erexue	(0.000		TOTAL		'	TOTAL	
						ADD'L FEE		OR	ADD'L FEE	
-	4-24-05	(Calumn 1)	<u>. </u>	(Column 2)	(Cotumn 3)			1		
dai	1 1 1	CLAMAS REMAINING AFTER		HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA	RATE .	ADDI- TIONAL FEE		RATE	ADDI- TIONAL - FEE
	Y Tota:	AMENDMENT	Minus	20	•	x s 25 .		OR	x :50 .	1
	Z Independent '	2	Minus	2	•	x s_100 =		OR	x s_200-	
				<u> </u>	211840	+5 80 -		OR	+ 5360=	
	FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM (37 CFR 1.16(d))					TOTAL	-	OR	TOTAL ADD'L FEE	
	·					ADD'L FEE		J 0	700 67 66	L
	(Column 1) (Column 2) (Column 3)					ı ———	T	7		T
	0 5	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER		HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA	RATE	ADDI- TIONAL FEE		RATE	TIONAL FEE
	Total	AMENDMENT	Minus	••		z s.25 =		OR	x 5 <u>50</u> =	<u> </u>
	Car Cas 1.18(10)	•	Minus	***	v	x s 00 .		OR	x s_200=	1
	(37 CFR 1,16(d)) FIRST PRESENTATION OF MAILTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM (37 CFR 1,16(d))					+:180:		OR	+ 5360-	
	FORST PRESENTATION OF LOQ TIPLE DEPENDENT COMMITTEE TO STATE OF THE PRESENTATION OF LOQ TIPLE DEPENDENT COMMITTEE TO THE PRESENTATION OF THE PRESENT					TOTAL	1	OR	TOTAL ADD'L FEE	
	If the entry in column 1 is less than the entry in column 2, write "0" in column 3.					ADD'L FEE	L			

The "Highest Number Previously Paid For" (Total or Independent) is the highest number found in the appropriate box in column 1.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.16. The information is required to obtain or relain a benefit by the cubic which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application, Confidentability is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, USPTO from with vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO, from with vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments including gathering, preparing, and submitting the complete displayments for reducing this turden, should be said to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent Individual case. Any comments of Individual case in the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent Individual case in the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent Individual case in the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent Individual case in the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent Individual case in the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent Individual case in the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent Individual case in the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent Individual case in the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent Individual case in the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent Individual case in the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent Individual case in the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent Individual case in the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent Individual case in the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent Individual case in the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent Individual case in the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent Individual case in the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent Individual case in the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent Individual case in the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent Information Officer, U.S. Patent Information Officer, U.S. Patent